

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,837	10/31/2003	Cynthia H. Polsky	109263-132220	1277
25943	7590 07/27/2005	005 EXAMINER		
	WILLIAMSON & W	ROSE, KIESHA L		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND OR 97204			2822	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ax
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/698,837	POLSKY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kiesha L. Rose	2822	,
ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
_ `	•	
6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time	•	
within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
y 2005.		
action is non-final.		
ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is
k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		-
plication.		
n from consideration.		
election requirement.		
·		
pted or b) objected to by the E		
rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See		ED 4 404(d)
on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office		• •
ammor. Note the attached Office	TOUGH OF IOHH P	102.
oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
have been received.		
have been received in Application		Ctoro
ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	o in this National	Stage .
(PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not receive	rd	
	M.	

The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the	e cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30) days, a reply within the stat tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be time tutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to blication to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 May 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is n	on-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 12</u> is/are reject	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election r	equirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ie Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	• • • •	* *				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:			-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
Attachment(s)		_	·				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 	PTO.048)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	iry Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20050722				

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 9 May 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eldridge et al. (U.S. Publication 2002/00117330).

Eldridge discloses contact structures (Fig. 35) that contains a microelectronic die substrate (3208) (Fig. 32) with bond pads, a microelectronic die substrate having an active and back side with an active side interconnect disposed on the active side, a backside interconnect disposed on the backside, coupled to and in substantial vertical alignment with the active side interconnect, a redistributed interconnect of the backside interconnect disposed on the backside (metal layers (3551-3556) coupled to and offset from the backside interconnect, a metal layer (3551-3556) having a first and second side, a first dielectric layer (layer between metal layers) adjacent to the first side of the metal layer, a first aperture in the first dielectric layer and exposing a portion of the first side of the metal layer to define the active side interconnect, a second dielectric layer (3550) adjacent to the second side of the metal layer, a via extending from the backside

Art Unit: 2822

interconnect through the second dielectric layer to the second side of the metal layer to electrically couple the backside interconnect to the metal layer, a conductive trace (metal layers on top side of 3550) coupled to and extending from the backside interconnect to a selected location, a third dielectric layer (layer between conductive traces) overlaying the conductive trace, an aperture in the third dielectric layer substantially at or near the selected location wherein the selected location for the redistributed interconnect (3568) corresponds to an interconnect on a second microelectronic die substrate and is not vertically aligned with the backside interconnect where the substrates can be microelectronic dies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eldridge. Eldridge discloses all the limitations except for the second substrate being coupled to the redistributed interconnect by a process of reflow bonding, thermal compression or ultrasonic bonding, a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao and Sato et al.*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (CCPA 1976) (footnote 3). See also *In re Brown and Saffer*, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972): *In re Luck and Gainer*, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA

Art Unit: 2822

1974); and *In re Marosi et al.*, 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC 1983) final product per se which must be determined in a "product by, all of" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear. Even though product –by [-] process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based upon the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product is made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)(citations omitted)."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9 May 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argued that the Elkridge reference does not disclose a microelectronic die substrate whereas the substrate can be a printed circuit board, interposer or the like and a microelectronic die substrate is a form of a printed circuit board and interposer so therefore the substrates used in the Elkridge reference are microelectronic die substrates.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2822

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,837

Art Unit: 2822

Michael Trinh
Primary Examiner
Act SPE

Page 6